Expansion Subcommittee Meeting Draft Minutes World Class Conference Room, Kilroy Building, Sea Tac February 3, 2006, 9:00 – 11:00 a.m.

Scribe: Searetha Kelly

Organization Phone Name e-mail Subcommittee Members Olivia Yang (Lead) UW, Capital Projects 206-221-4224 oyang@u.washington.edu Present **Butch Reifert** Design Industry 206-441-4151 breifert@mahlum.com Absent Ed Kommers Mechanical Contractors ekommers@comcast.net 206-612-7304 Absent Dave Johnson Wa. State Bldg. & 360-357-6778 DJIW86@aol.com Absent Construction Trades Council John Lynch General Administration 360-902-7227 ilynch@ga.wa.gov Present Gary Ballew Benton County 509-736-3082 gary.ballew@co.benton.wa Present Rodney Eng City of Seattle 206-684-8241 rodney.eng.@seattle.gov Present Port of Seattle Michael Mequet 206-835-7637 mequet.m@portseattle.org Present Nora Huey King County 206-684-2049 norahuey@metrokc.gov Present Tom Peterson Hoffman Construction 206-286-8697 tom-peterson@hoffmancorp.com Absent Rick Slunaker AGC 360-352-0998 rslunaker@agcwa.com Absent Ashley Probart Assoc. of Wash. Cities 360-753-4137 ashleyp@awcnet.org Absent Contracts Bonding & 206-628-7221 Larry Byers larryb@cbic.com Present Insurance Company Larry Stevens lwstevens@wwdb.org MCA/NECA 253-212-1536 Present Centennial Cont. dicklutz@comcast.net Dick Lutz 360-867-9443 Present Dick Goldsmith Assn of WA Hospital 206-216-2528 richardg@awphd.org Districts present

Other Attendees			
Stan Bowman Absent	AIA/WA	360-943-6012	bowman@aiawa.org
Ginger Eagle Absent	Washington Ports	360-943-0760	geagle@washingtonports.org
Dan Vaught Absent	School District Review Board	425-489-6603	dvaught@nsd.org
Charlie Brown Absent	King/Pierce County School Coalitions	253-906-6685	
Nancy Deakins Absent	General Administration	360-902-8161	deakink@dshs.wa.gov

Meeting Notes

1: GC/CM Project Criteria

The purpose of today's meeting was to discuss what the attributes of GCCM project would be. This discussion will continue to March, and April and May are to discuss criteria for Owners.

Some of the discussion points raised included:

- Northshore had an existing building; they tore down half of it and moved the children into portables, then tore down the other half of the building. So there was complexity in staging the work, and consideration of safety for the school children and teachers and staff.
- There was discussion of the dollar limit.
- If a project gets small enough, you have to decide if you are willing to pay GC/CM vs. DBB (less cost). Some projects won't warrant the extra overhead costs
- If you are going to create a central board to approve projects and owners, just remove the dollar limitationsLet the board make the determination.
- On the other hand, if the dollar limit isn't there, smaller contractors are afraid they will lose market share if GC/CM pushes down too far. \$2, 4 or 5 million very small projects, cannot have the overhead of a GC/CM project.
- Continuing escalations of steel and construction cost \$10 million may not be much money in a couple of years.
- Some of the concerns from subcontractors regarding expanding GCCM authority:
 - 1. Pre-Qualification Process is sometimes onerous, goes beyond criteria A-H listed in legislation, or no clear agreement on how criteria are interpreted by Generals
 - 2. 60/40 low bid situation in GC/CM (subcontractors feel that Generals are on Owner's team, against the subcontractors
 - 3. Fear of a new process/change why do I want to take a risk?

Attributes of Appropriate GC/CM - Early involvement of GCCM in these issues would be critical to project success

Complex Coordination and/or Scheduling

- Operational and regulatory constraints
- Accelerated Schedule (part of earth procurement)
- Demolition
- Safety
- Security
- Staging of Work/Constrained Physical Site
- Phasing of Construction

Occupied (level of occupancy) Buildings/Facilities (more than buildings in some cases)

- Safety/Health issues
- Security
- Operational and Regulatory Constraints
- Scheduling
- Design Constructability Inputs

Complex/Technical Work Environment

- Safety (patient and worker)
- Infection Control (controlling dust, etc...)
- Security (airports and correctional facilities)
- Regulatory Restrictions
- Surroundings

Historic Renovation

- Site Investigation (for design input)
- On-Site Management
- Protect Owners Assets
- Assured Public Confidence
- Specialty Contractor
- Pre-Qualification
- Historic Preservation Means and Methods Input

Contract Design Involvement

Early Procurement (desired)

"Parking Lot "criteria or issues:

- 1. High Financial Risk
- 2. Public Benefit
- 3. Requires Special Expertise

4. Next Steps

Next meeting, we will continue discussion of criteria, and draft proposed legislation as well. We will send the above list to Mr Eng electronically, so he can take a shot at drafting legislation.

5. Meeting Adjournment
The meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:50 a.m.